

NHDAZ Special Awards Evaluation Rubric

2023-2024 Contest

Turning Points in History

Technical evaluation (equally weighted):

(4= Very high or agree; 3= somewhat high or somewhat agree; 2= somewhat low or somewhat disagree; 1= very low or disagree)

<i>Evaluation Criteria</i>	4	3	2	1
The quality of the student's historical research, including thoughtful use of both primary and secondary sources and a well-crafted argument.				
The project topic is appropriate for the award category.				
The student's voice is clear and unique from their research materials.				
The student approached the annual theme in a creative and innovative way.				
The project makes a social, civic, or intellectual impact.				
The project and it's presentation is professional.				

For coordinator approval:

<i>Question</i>	Y	N	<i>Note s</i>
Does the project follow all NHD rules?			
Does the project have all the correct elements in the correct order?			

Notes: